

SHORELINE MAPPING FIELD FORM LINE FEATURES

		Shore Unit #:	Data sheet/					
Use a data sheet for each linear sh significantly from either a change	of:							
 natural shoreline to modified material of the hard amour 		e of modification (e.g. hard armour type) nge in the position of the hard armour on the beach (toe elevation						
Fill in data sheet by selecting the b	est option.							
Recorder Name:		Contact Email:						
Crew Names:	rew Names:							
Shore Unit #:		GPS Unit#: Collection Method: (select one) Foot Kayak Boat						
Date & Time:								
1. Start Waypoint #:		Stop Waypoint #:						
2. Type: (select one) 🗌 Natural (skip		· · ·						
Unknown (cannot see behind w *If the armor is merely a landscap								
3. If rocky outcrop, is there a hard a	armour structure	built on it? Tes (answer r	next question) 🗌 No 🗌 N/A					
<i>If yes,</i> does the toe elevation of the (may be affected by water)?	he hard armour	structure sit below the uplan						
4. Description Type — What is the m ☐ Seawall ☐ Riprap ☐ Dock ☐ ☐ Ferry Terminal ☐ Stormwater ☐ Aquaculture infrastructure ☐ I]Groyne □Logg Outfall □Gabio	ing infrastructure □ Marina n Basket □ Pilings with struc	cture 🗌 Jetty/Pier/Breakwater					
5. Material – What is the material								
6. Toe elevation (where bottom of s	tructure intersec	ts beach at the most waterw	ard point).					
Toe of structure below current w								
□ A. Above Extreme High Water (waterward storm berm, Pre		ence of upland vegetation, fe od or Large Woody Debris (L\						
B. Ordinary High Water Mark t low slope gradient, presence	•	0	ass and other halophytic vegetation,					
C. Mean Higher High Water to halophytic vegetation, high		/ater Mark — Presence of LW	D, beach wrack deposits, patches o					
D. Mean Sea Level to Mean Hi waves battering structure	gher High Water	– Waterward beach is gene	erally bare, higher gradient, signs of					
E. Below or at Mean Sea Level battering structure, <i>Fucus</i> al	• •		nent composition, signs of waves					
F. Not applicable								
G. Unsure								
H. Other:								
If unsure, measure the toe eleva Distance above water line:			ach at the most waterward point) the distance? Time:					
How did you measure the distant	ce? (select one)							

Rangefinder Measuring Tape Visual estimation Other:

7.	Armour Conditi	ion — What is tł	ne condition o	f the structure?	(select one)						
	 Good: Clearly intact. No visual signs of degradation appear on the feature. OK, some cracks: There are some cracks are but otherwise good condition. Functional but failing: If the structure still appears to be functioning as shore protection but is compromised by leaning slightly, thinning, or toppled in areas. Not functioning: Broken. Not serving any function, toppled or eroded so that it is no longer providing shore protection. Low quality methods, but not degraded: Structure is made with low quality materials or installed poorly, but show no signs of failure or erosion. Unknown: Sometimes features may be covered with vegetation and the condition can not be assessed 										
	What % of the segment is in this particular condition? (select one)										
	0-5%	6-20%	21-50%	51-75%	□ 76 -99%	100%					
			(Modified	shore features r	ow advance to #	±10)					
8.	If natural shoreline segment, are there signs of erosion at the base of the shoreline? (e.g., undercutting of the shoreline bank, exposed soil or other visible damage from storms) (select one) Yes No Partial (in some portions of the segment) Other:										
9.	If natural shoreline segment, does the backshore contain vegetation? (e.g., on slope, bluff, bank) Yes No (advance to #10)										
	Are there non-	native species v	isible from th	e shoreline?	Yes No	Unknown					
10.	(at least 3 logs v	with cut ends)? ible (tucked into	Yes] No (advance t	/in front of this s o #11) ole to be moved e		/tides (mobile) ?				
11.	Riparian Vegetation – is there riparian vegetation on the backshore that include shrubs and trees? Do not count low-lying herbaceous plants. Yes, the entire length Partial (in only some portions of the segment) No (advance to #12)										
	Riparian Overh 0-5%	-	proportion (%) 6-20%	-	overhangs the sl 21-50%	horeline within	this segment?				
	□ 76-99%		100%				Unknown				
	Type of Vegeta Mainly Shruk		e of overhang Mainly Trees	ging vegetation	is it?] Mix of shrubs of	and trees					
12.	Anthropogenic approximately			nere anthropogo Yes No	enic features visil		shore within				
13.	 Make any additional Comments/Field Notes: (e.g. Forage fish spawning potential, restoration potential?) 										